



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRM-M-10558-2024

Date of decision: 16.01.2025

Ramandeep Singh

.....*Petitioner*

Versus

Union of India and Ors.

.....*Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. K.S. Rana, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 & 2-UOI.
Mr. J.S. Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 & 2 to provide Central Armed Force Security to the petitioner in addition to security provided by respondent No.6 as there is continuous threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of anti social elements and known gangsters.

It would apposite to reproduce para 9 & 10 of the petition wherein following averments have been made:

“9. That on 11.09.2023 respondent No.6 again without evaluating the threat perception to the life of petitioner and without informing him withdrew security provided to petitioner without any reason and called back three security personnel and directed them to report immediately at Police Lines Sri Muktsar Sahib. As such in place of 7 only 3 security personal are there for the security of petitioner and his family.

10. That petitioner has joined Bharatiya Janta Party and is appointed as spokesperson of Punjab Kisan Morcha and Incharge District Bathinda Urban Bharatiya Janta Party as such activity of the petitioner has increased manifold as he



has to campaign for the BJP candidates as parliament elections are on the anvil. Petitioner and his family is facing continuous threat at the hands known gangsters and anti social elements. Therefore security of the petitioner and his family be enhanced as there are only 3 security men deployed in total whereas the requirement is more.

Petitioner being spokes person and office bearers of BJP often criticizes working of present regime in the State hence his securfity is being curtailed, without without any reason without informing him despite the threat to his life at the hands of known gangsters. Petitioner and his family be provided security of Central armed Force in addition to the security provided by respondent No.6 which is often curtailed without assigning any reason and is not enough. Hence petitioner and his family be provided Central Forces security for their safety in addition to the security provided by respondent No.6.”

Having failed to establish his case by substantiating that there is any threat perception as of now and realizing that this petition has been filed directly before this Court without even representing the competent Authority for examination of threat perception, if any exist in the light of the aforesaid para 9 & 10 of the petition apart from the pleadings being vague with no iota of material to show the mode of threat and who extended the threat to the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to take all the remedial measures if so warrants, as per law.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

16.01.2025
manoj

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No