



123 (4 cases)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

1. LPA-1551-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22<sup>ND</sup> of May, 2025

MONU AND ANOTHER .....Appellant(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .....Respondent(s)

2. LPA-1555-2025 (O&M)

BHAGIRATH AND OTHERS .....Appellant(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .....Respondent(s)

3. LPA-1556-2025 (O&M)

MANDEEP AND OTHERS .....Appellant(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .....Respondent(s)

4. LPA-1557-2025 (O&M)

ANITA KAMBOJ AND ANOTHER .....Appellant(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .....Respondent(s)

**CORAM:** **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**  
**HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate, assisted by  
Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate,  
Mr. Shifali Goyal, Advocate and  
Mr. Avichal Sharma, Advocate for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)**

1. All these connected LPAs are being decided by this common order as issue involved in all these LPAs is similar.

2. Learned counsel for appellants fairly states that the issue involved in this present LPAs stands decided by this Court vide order dated 12.05.2025 in LPA-1402-2025 titled as **Preeti Malik and Another** Vs. **State of Haryana and others** whereby this Court while dismissing the said LPA, has held as under:-



“5 Learned Single Judge has dismissed the petition, holding that such candidates to be beyond the number of posts and so far as 178 candidates, in our view, are a separate class carved out in a review petition. A scene of approval to the proposal of the State Government to treat such 178 candidates who already stood appointed as a separate class was given in a review order dated 03.07.2023.

6. We are of the firm view that we cannot interfere in the order passed by the Supreme Court. The exercise stands completed and closed after the final order dated 03.07.2023 passed in the review petition. The claim of the appellants solely on the basis that they are more meritorious than such 178 persons, is found to be without basis as the said 178 persons form a separate class as recognized by the Supreme Court. No place of discrimination or violation of Article 14 of Constitution is thus, made out. The writ petition has been rightly dismissed.

7. The present appeal also stands dismissed.”

3. In view thereto, we also **dismiss** the present LPAs *mutatis mutandis* in terms of the order dated 12.05.2025 passed by this Court in LPA-1402-2025 and connected cases.

4. All pending applications in this case are dismissed of accordingly.

**[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]**  
**JUDGE**

**[MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA]**  
**JUDGE**

**May 22, 2025**

*Ess Kay*

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>