



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3897-2025

Date of decision: 30.09.2025

GURJIT KAUR

....PETITIONER

Versus

THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: - Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the financial institution do not dispute the fact that settlement has been arrived at between the rival parties and the loan account has been closed on the basis of settlement which, *inter alia*, provided payment of Rs.1,50,000/- by the petitioner-borrower, which has been paid by him.

2. In view of statement made by learned counsel for the rival parties, cause raised herein has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

**(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

30.09.2025
kanika

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No