

CM-970-CWP-2025 in/and  
CWP-5187-2022 (O&M)

2025:PHHC:058277



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(112+270)

CM-970-CWP-2025 in/and  
CWP-5187-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 05.05.2025

Jiwan Jyoti Polytechnic College, Jallalabad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. A.P. Setia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Himanshu Raj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Senior Panel Counsel  
for respondent No. 5-UOI.

\*\*\*

***Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)***

***CM-970-CWP-2025***

Present application has been filed for placing on record replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 along with Annexure P-17.

Application is allowed and replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 along with Annexure P-17 is taken on record with all just exceptions.

***CWP-5187-2022***

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners-institution is that they are entitled for the payment of their dues



under the Post Metric Scholarship Scheme, which is not being refunded to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present petition has already been answered by this Court in CWP No.13761 of 2024 titled “*Akashdeep and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors.*” *and other connected cases*, decided on 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) hence, the present petition be also disposed of in the same terms.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5-Union of India submits that though, the claim raised in the present petition has already been decided by this court while passing order in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, but Union of India, intends to file an appeal against the said order passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file with their able assistance.

5. Once, the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, merely that respondent No. 4- Union of India has decided to file an appeal against the said order passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, does not mean that the claim of the petitioners which is *akin* to one which was raised in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, should not be disposed of in the same terms so as to avoid discrimination.

6. Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms and conditions as held in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*.

**CM-970-CWP-2025 in/and  
CWP-5187-2022 (O&M)**

2025:PHHC:058277



**3**

7. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed  
of.

**May 05, 2025**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*