

2025:PHHC:065865



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

1199

CWP-7205-2009 (O & M)  
Date of decision: 16.05.2025

Pankaj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Arjun Dev, Advocate for  
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arvind Mittal, Advocate and  
Mr. Sarvjeet Singh Thakur, Advocate,  
for respondents No.3 to 5.

Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for  
respondents No.8, 13 to 15, 17, 18 and 20.

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the selection of respondents No.6 to 17 made pursuant to the advertisement dated 28.03.2008, Annexure P-1, might not even be surviving due to the efflux of time, especially in wake of there being no interim order.
2. Learned counsel states that despite best efforts, he is also unable to establish contact with the petitioner.
3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived by demonstrating that the *lis* still subsists.

16.05.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No