

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDI GARH

Arbitration Case No. 195 of 2018 (O&M)  
DATE OF DECISION: 05.07.2019

Tasvir Singh (Contractor)

.... Applicant

versus

Chief Administrator of HSAMB and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Shri Devinder Singh, Advocate for the applicant

Shri Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General,  
Haryana

..

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

This is an application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of an Arbitrator.

Admittedly, an objection has been raised on behalf of the respondents stating that after the arbitration clause was invoked by the applicant by giving notice on 27.04.2017 a retired District & Sessions Judge was appointed as arbitrator on 02.04.2018 who has also entered the reference. The instant application has been made by the applicant after the respondent had already appointed an arbitrator in terms of the agreement between the parties.

The arbitrator appointed is a retired District & Sessions Judge and is an independent Arbitrator and, thus, no exception can be taken to his appointment.

In the wake of the above facts and discussion, the application is not liable to be entertained and, accordingly, stands dismissed.

05.07.2019  
parkash

(KRISHNA MURARI)  
CHIEF JUSTICE

NOTE:

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking  
Whether reportable: YES/NO