



264 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
CHANDIGARH

CRR-93-2020 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 28.01.2025

SUNIL JOSHI

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr. Inderjit Singh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the present petition is for summoning respondents No. 2 and 3 as additional accused by invoking power under Section 319 of Cr.P.C.
2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that trial Court vide judgment dated 26.07.2024 has acquitted other accused persons and as such, in view of the judgment rendered by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sukhpal Singh Khaira Vs. The State of Punjab (2023) 1 SCC 289*, the power under Section 319 of Cr.P.C. cannot be invoked after conclusion of the trial. Thus, the present petition has become infructuous.
3. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
4. Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

28.01.2025  
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No