



CRM-M-10586-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-M-10586-2025

Date of decision : 08.04.2025

Ajay

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short BNSS) for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.001 dated 02.01.2024, under Sections 392, 397, 323, 201, 506, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, District Panipat.

2. Allegations are that petitioner-has committed robbery in the shop of the complainant while robbing mobile phone and silver as well as gold rings and cash amount Rs.23,000/- from the complainant and his wife and threatened to kill them.

3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2024 and charges have already been framed. Also submits that co-accused with similar allegations namely Gul Bahar has already been granted concession of bail pending trial by the Co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 26.03.2025 and even third co-accused Sonu was granted bail by the learned Sessions Judge, Panipat on 03.04.2025. Therefore, he is entitled to concession of bail pending trial.



CRM-M-10586-2025

2

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel, on instructions, not opposed the prayer made hereinabove.
5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2024; charges have already been framed and out of total 21 prosecution witnesses, 19 are yet to be examined ; therefore, conclusion of trial may take sufficient long time. It is not the allegation of State that in case, petitioner is released on bail, he shall influence the witnesses or hamper the course of trial, in any manner; thus, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.
7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
9. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

08.04.2025**anil**

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No