

110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1236 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.04.2024

SUMAN KUMAR SAINI AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

ANOOP KATIYAR

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Parveen Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for violating the order dated 05.12.2023 passed in CWP-37002-2019.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and submits that the relevant details have not been submitted by the employer of the petitioners. Suddenly, the employer of the petitioners have increased the payment of contribution to the pension fund without disclosing the exact details of month wise salary of each of the employees, even for the last 12 months before the retirement.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will make request to the employer to furnish the above said information.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is totally pre-mature. Hence, the same is dismissed.
6. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file fresh petition after ensuring that the relevant information is provided by the employer to the respondent, and if still there is any default on the part of the respondent.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

16.04.2024
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No