



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-2927-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.09.2025

Nayak Seen

...Petitioner

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Aggarwal, Advocate for

Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Contempt Petition filed under Section 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to initiate the contempt proceedings against the respondents for willful disobedience and non-compliance of order/direction dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-12173-2023.

2. Learned State Counsel has pointed out that in pursuance of order dated 30.05.2023, the authorities have passed the speaking order dated 25.01.2024 which has been annexed as Annexure R-2 along with compliance affidavit dated 08.02.2024.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is not fully satisfied with the said speaking order dated 25.01.2024 and has submitted that with respect to the pending claims, the petitioner would give a detailed representation to the competent authority of Guru Nanak Bhai Lalo Ramgarhia College for Women, Phagwara and has prayed that the said representation be decided in a time bound manner and if amount is found due to the petitioner,



then the same be released to him and if the claim made is meritless then a speaking order be passed regarding the same.

4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 and 4-representatives of the College has submitted that, in case, such a representation is submitted, then, the same would be considered within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation and, in case, the respondents after considering the matter are of the opinion that the petitioner is entitled to certain more amount then the same would be released to the petitioner and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to the claims made in the said representation then a speaking order regarding the same would be passed within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of. It would be open to the petitioner to make a representation to the competent authority of Guru Nanak Bhai Lalo Ramgarhia College for Women, Phagwara and on the petitioner doing so, the competent authority of the college would consider the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation and the amount if found due in favour of the petitioner, would be released to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and if any claim made by the petitioner is not found to be meritorious by the competent authority, then a speaking order be passed on the said aspect, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of said representation.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

10.09.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No