



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

COCP-1488-2025

Date of decision : 08.04.2025

Dharampal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

V.Uma Shankar and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr.Garvit Mittal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. Learned State counsel has filed compliance report dated 07.04.2025, paragraph 5 of which is reads as under:-

*“5. That in compliance of the orders dated 12.11.2024 of this Hon'ble Court, the deponent granted an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners on 07.04.2025. Pursuant to the grant of opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners and keeping in view the factual position, the respondents have now regularized the services of the petitioner No.1 (namely Sh.Dharampal) in CWP No. 30439 of 2024 w.e.f. 01.10.2003 under the policy dated 01.10.2003 vide separate regularization order dated 07.04.2025. It is humbly submitted that the respondents have initiated the process of assessing and releasing the monetary benefits of the petitioner No. 1 as per order dated 07.04.2025. It is further submitted that the said benefits which accrued to the petitioner No. 1 will be released to him within a period of four weeks from the date of order i.e.*



*by 06.05.2025. However, the services of the petitioner No. 2 (namely Sh. Krishan Kumar) on the post of Member Bhajan Party could not be regularized and the respondents have passed a detailed speaking order dated 07.04.2025. The copy of regularization order dated 07.04.2025 qua the petitioner No. 1 and the copy of speaking order dated 07.04.2025 qua the petitioner No. 2 issued by the deponent are annexed as Annexure R-1 and R-2 respectively for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the respondents be bound by the averments made in the said paragraph with respect to petitioner no.1 and qua petitioner no.2, liberty be granted to challenge the order dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure R-2).

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the respondents would be bound by the averments made in paragraph 5 of the compliance report.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Rule stands discharged.

6. Liberty is granted to the petitioner no.2 to challenge the order dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure R-2), in accordance with law.

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 08, 2025.**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No