

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41050-2025  
Reserved on: 08.09.2025  
Pronounced on: 30.09.2025

Gajendra Pal Singh Malik ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, D.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
169	28.03.2010	Gurgaon City, District Gurugram	120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC

1. Seeking anticipatory bail in the FIR captioned above in which he was declared as proclaimed person, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 BNSS.
2. State counsel oppose the petition on the ground of maintainability.
3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the law is no more res-integra; once a person has been declared proclaimed offender, the anticipatory bail shall not be normally entertained. The petition for quashing of proclamation order was registered as CRM-M No.41181 of 2025 in which this Court has observed that no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner in proclamation order as well as in the FIR captioned above.
4. Given above, once there is no apprehension of arrest because of the order passed in CRM-M No.41181 of 2025 coupled with the fact that proclamation order is yet not quashed, present petition is disposed of with liberty to file fresh after quashing of proclamation order. It is clarified that from the date of disposal of CRM-M No.41181 of 2025, till 07 working days, there shall be stay on petitioner's arrest to enable him to avail his legal remedy. This order shall stand eclipsed on 8<sup>th</sup> day of the final order passed in CRM-M No.41181 of 2025. The disposal shall not come in the way of second anticipatory bail either before this Court or the trial Court. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

30.09.2025

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.