

**221-110****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH****RSA-93-2024 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 01.08.2025**

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD. ... Appellant(s)

Versus

SUNIL ... Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN**Present: Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate for the appellant(s).Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate  
for the respondent(s).**ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)****CM-260-C-2024**

For the reasons mentioned therein, the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal is allowed and the delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

**RSA-93-2024**

1. The defendant-appellant is in the present Regular Second Appeal, challenging the judgment and decree passed by the First Appellate Court whereby its appeal was partly allowed.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the defendant-appellant has submitted that the question of law involved in the present appeal as to whether jurisdiction of the Civil Court is barred in view of Section 145 of the Electricity Act, 2003, has since been decided by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **RSA-4181-2016** titled as "**Mahesh Kumar Versus Sub Divisional Officer & Anr.**" vide judgment dated 14.05.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff-respondent does not dispute this factual position.

4. In view thereof, the present appeal is allowed, and the suit filed by the plaintiff-respondent stands dismissed on the ground of jurisdiction. However, the plaintiff-respondent would have the liberty to avail the remedies as available in law.

5. It is made clear that any evidence recorded/observations made in the impugned judgments and decrees would not operate as *res judicata* in any subsequent proceedings initiated by the plaintiff-respondent. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

01.08.2025  
Yogesh Sharma

**( ALKA SARIN )**  
**JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking  
Whether reportable: YES/NO