



**[211] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10114-2025

Date of Decision : 02.09.2025

Pardeep Singh ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

[1] The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., 1973, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Pardeep Singh	15	02.02.2019	379-B (Section 411 of IPC added later on)	A-Division, Amritsar	Amritsar

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation in the FIR against petitioner pertains to the offence of snatching a mobile phone. It is further contended that petitioner was initially granted bail vide order dated 23.05.2019. However, for the first time, the petitioner absented himself from the Court on 26.08.2019 and thereafter did not appear, leading to the cancellation of his bail on 28.10.2021. Subsequently, petitioner surrendered on 28.03.2023 and, taking a sympathetic view of the matter, he was again enlarged on bail on 09.06.2023.

[3] Again, the petitioner misused the concession of bail and absented himself from the Court on 02.11.2023. Ultimately, he was declared a proclaimed offender on 03.08.2024. Thereafter, the petitioner was arrested on 10.10.2024 and, since then, he has remained in custody.

[4] Faced with the aforesaid factual position, counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition, at this stage.

[5] Dismissed as not pressed, at this stage.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

02.09.2025

'R. Sharma'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*