



CRM-M-6125-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-M-6125-2025 (O&M)****Date of decision : 3.2.2025**

Kuldeep Singh @ Ghuggi

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGHPresent: Ms. Renu Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner THROUGH VC.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of impugned order dated 24.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Jalandhar, vide which, bail bonds of the petitioner were cancelled and he was directed to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest in a criminal case having FIR No.193 dated 9.10.2018 registered under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar.

2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that prior to passing of impugned order (Annexure P-1), the petitioner was given concession of anticipatory bail and the petitioner was regularly attending the trial Court. He further submits that the petitioner is 71 years of age and noted down wrong date and thus, was unable to attend the Court on 24.12.2024 which resulted into passing of order Annexure P-1 which was passed in a hasty manner by the Court concerned without taking into consideration the fact that this was first default on the part of the



petitioner. It was further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join proceedings before the learned trial Court at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that on 24.12.2024, the petitioner got absented without any intimation to the Court concerned which resulted into passing of order (Annexure P-1) by the learned trial Court in accordance with law. State counsel also referred to order dated 16.12.2024 passed by the learned trial Court in order to show that even on 16.12.2024, the petitioner did not join the proceedings in the trial Court.

5. Apparently, it appears that the petitioner is more than 70 years of age and his absence on the date fixed does not seem to be intentional. Further, the petitioner has himself approached this Court with readiness and willingness to join proceedings before the learned trial Court at the earliest.

6. In view of above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of and the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned trial Court concerned within next 10 days and on his doing so, the petitioner is to be released on regular bail by the said Court to its own satisfaction subject to costs of ₹ 3000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with District Legal Services Authority concerned. Needless to say that the petitioner shall remain careful in future and will appear before the learned trial Court on each and every date of hearing.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 3, 2025

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No