



273

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61925-2024

Date of Decision:28.05.2025

Pappu Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate for
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Guninder Singh Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. On 22.01.2025, the case was heard at length and the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Mr. Guninder Singh Brar, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant, by filing his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is ready to return the amount of Rs.5 lacs to the complainant in three instalments.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that he is also ready to accept the amount of Rs.5 lacs in three instalments. He further submits that in case, the amount is returned by the petitioner, he shall have no objection to the quashing of the present FIR on the basis of the compromise.

With the consent of the parties, the matter is referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the parties are directed to appear before this Centre on 04.02.2025.”

2. Thereafter, the petitioner had assured to return a sum of Rs.5 lacs to the complainant in the present case. Thereafter, the case was adjourned on several dates. Still the petitioner did not fulfil his commitment. Even today, learned counsel submits that the petitioner is unable to comply with the order dated 26.05.2025 passed by this Court.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is not entitled to discretionary relief of anticipatory bail in the present case and the petition is accordingly dismissed.

28.05.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No