



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7777-2025**

**Date of Decision: 20.03.2025**

Bhagwan Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in ***Sukhjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*** in ***CWP No.9936 of 2017***.

3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of above stated judgment of this Court, the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of judgment of this Court in ***Sukhjit Singh (supra)*** and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

20.03.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No