



CRM-M-53838-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(103)

CRM-M-53838-2024
Date of Decision:-21.03.2025

Nathuram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Ms. Sonal Singh Godara and
Mr. Sagar Panghal, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.4 vide order dated 07.08.2024 in FIR No.521 dated 30.10.2022, under Sections 302, 120-B, 34 of IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Palam Vihar, Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that respondent No.4 has been arrested and is in custody as his bail has been cancelled by the trial Court on account of his absence.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has pointed out that respondent No.4 was absent on account of being present before this Court



CRM-M-53838-2024

on the date fixed before the trial Court, however, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that counsel of respondent No.4 should have appeared and moved an appropriate application for exemption. However, the factual matrix is not adverse to the proposition of law that as of now the present petition has been rendered infructuous, as respondent No.4 already stands arrested.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that the protection granted to the petitioner (complainant) be continued till the trial concludes as there could be a possibility of threat to the petitioner (complainant).

5. Since the prayer in the petition is only for cancellation of the bail which does not survive, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to petitioner to take appropriate steps in case any threat perception arises, which will be promptly looked into by the authorities, in accordance with law and also liberty to respondent No.4 to take appropriate steps, in accordance with law, as available to him.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

March 21, 2025.

S. Sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No