



297

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10353-2025**

Date of Decision:- 28.04.2025

**NIKHIL SACHDEVA**

...Petitioner

Vs.

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Mr. Ankur Dua, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Himanshu Setia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**

1. Petitioner Nikhil Sachdeva has filed this petition for quashing of FIR No.328 dated 21.09.2024 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 08.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) effected between petitioners and respondent No.2.

2. Facts of the case are complainant Neha Mehindru filed written complaint against her husband Nikhil Sachdeva and other members of in-laws' family alleging that her marriage was performed on 24.11.2017 at Rohtak. Her parents had given dowry beyond their means detailed in the FIR. Soon after marriage, she was taunted that marriage was not performed as per their status. There was interference of other family members in her married life. All accused harassed her for bringing less dowry and she was



humiliated in front of relatives and neighbours. Complainant has narrated various incidents which took place in the matrimonial home. During her pregnancy, she was not provided medical assistance. She gave birth to a son in January, 2022 at Motherland Hospital, Noida. At the time of marriage, she was working as Senior Executive in Big FM Company Delhi. During this period, she was travelling every day. Her husband used to take away her entire money. There was no change in the behaviour of her husband and in-laws' family. She had started residing in her parental house along with her son since 20.05.2024. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 24.02.2025, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak dated 13.03.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded, where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion or undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

Learned State counsel filed status report, which is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

4. Petitioner- Nikhil Sachdeva also confirmed this fact in his separate statement. Statement of HC Poonam is also recorded who further



confirmed that accused is not proclaimed offender in this case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties amicably. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They will be able to live in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in 2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr., where it was explained that 'there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent the abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.'

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and FIR No.328 dated 21.09.2024 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

28.04.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.  
Whether reportable : Yes/No