

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:090381



308

CRM-M-30171-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.07.2025.

Anuraj Khatri and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Robin Lohan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.889 dated 13.11.2018 under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 341, 427 of IPC (accused discharged under Section 307 IPC vide order dated 20.01.2020 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat) registered at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. This Court vide order dated 28.05.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before

the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonapat and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 16.06.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.889 dated 13.11.2018 under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 341, 427 of IPC (accused discharged under Section 307 IPC vide order dated 20.01.2020 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat) registered at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) are quashed qua the petitioners.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

22.07.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No