2025:PHHC:028395

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(101)

1. **RSA-3142-1997**

Jagroop Singh **....Appellant**

Versus

The Punjab State Co-operative Supply and Marketing Federation Ltd and another

...Respondents

2. **RSA-1295-1997**

Punjab Markfed **....Appellant**

Versus

Jagrup Singh and another

...Respondents

3. **RSA-1374-1997**

Punjab Markfed **....Appellant**

Versus

Jagrup Singh and another

...Respondents

Date of decision: - 27.02.2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Arundhati, Advocate
for Mr. Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant in RSA-1374-1997.

None for the appellant
in RSA-3142-1997 and RSA-1295-1997.

None for the respondents.

****

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 19.02.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following

NARESH KUMAR
2025.03.01 16:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
document/judgement

order: -

"In the present case, Jagroop Singh had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the order dated 18.02.1987, vide which his services had been terminated by the defendants, was illegal, null and void.

The trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 19.08.1995 had partly decreed the said suit and had set aside the order dated 18.02.1987 vide which services of the said Jagroop Singh were terminated and further liberty was granted to the Federation to furnish copy of the report of the Enquiry Officer to the plaintiff and to initiate fresh action after affording him a reasonable opportunity to show cause against the quantum of punishment. The appeal against the same by the defendant has also been dismissed.

A perusal of the paperbook would show that there is no stay operating in either of the appeals.

Learned counsel for the federation prays for an adjournment to get instructions as to whether the federation has taken any action against the plaintiff, which liberty was granted by the trial Court and the appellate Court.

Further instructions would be taken by the counsel for the federation to the effect that in case, no such action has been taken, as to whether the plaintiff has served, since, his termination order was set aside and as to whether in the said circumstances, any cause survives in the present appeal or not.

Adjourned to 27.02.2025.

To be taken up in the urgent list.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected case.

19.02.2025"

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant in RSA-1374-1997 has submitted that no action was taken by the appellant/Federation

NARESH KUMAR
2025.03.01 16:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
document/judgement

against the plaintiff/respondent No.1 in accordance with liberty which was granted by the trial Court as well as by the 1st Appellate Court . It is however submitted that even the respondent No.1/plaintiff has not come to seek implementation of the judgments of the trial Court as well as the 1st Appellate Court and thus, at this stage, the present appeals be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives or the respondent No.1/plaintiff seeks to enforce the judgments of the trial Court as well as of the 1st Appellate Court.

3. In view of the above, the present appeals are disposed of, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 27, 2025
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No

NARESH KUMAR
2025.03.01 16:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
document/judgement