



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18841-2025
DECIDED ON: 16.05.2025**

TEJASVI KUMAR BHARDWAJ AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONER(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate
for the petitioners
Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Rahul Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No. 108, dated 16.06.2016 registered under Sections 120-B, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station, City Phagwara, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) AND all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the Judgment of Conviction and the Order of Sentence dated 30.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) on the basis of the Compromise dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.

Vide order dated 07.04.2025, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

The report dated 14.05.2025 has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara, stating that the parties have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that order dated 29.05.2018 declaring the petitioner No.3 Proclaimed offender has already been set aside vide order dated 16.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-19103-2025. He further submits that since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, therefore, the parties may be permitted to compound the offence; and by setting aside the judgments/orders passed by the Courts below, the petitioners be ordered to be acquitted of the charges.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No. 2 has no objection if the petition is allowed and he does not dispute the compromise arrived at between the parties. He has expressed his no objection for compounding of the offence as prayed by the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, finding the prayer of the petitioner to be genuine and in view of the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, this Court finds that it would not be unjustified if the offence, for which the petitioners have been convicted, is permitted to be compounded.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and necessary permission for compounding of offence for which the petitioners were convicted and sentenced by the trial Court, is granted.

As a result of compounding, FIR No. 108, dated 16.06.2016 registered under Sections 120-B, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station, City Phagwara, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the Judgment of Conviction and the Order of Sentence dated 30.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) on the basis of the Compromise dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties, are quashed qua the petitioners.

16.05.2025
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No