VARINDER SINGH
2016.05.03 16:28
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at Chandigarh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 1773 of 2014 (O&M)**

Rajender Kumar Anchal .. Petitioner

versus

Maman Chand and another .. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal**

Present: Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate, for the respondents.

**Rajesh Bindal, J.**

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that as on today, there is no Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Bhiwani, however, Sanjay Yadav, Secretary, Municipal Council, Bhiwani, is present in person in Court.

Non-compliance of the order passed by this Court on 12.1.2011 has been alleged in the present contempt petition. A direction was issued to the authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner alleging encroachment by one Ginni Devi wife of Bajrang Lal.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that after the aforesaid order was received, notice was issued to her for removal of the encroachment. She had sold the house to one Pawan Kumar. He filed civil suit challenging the notice. In proceedings under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC, this Court in Civil Revision No. 4616 of 2015 *Pawan Kumar vs Municipal Council, Bhiwani* stayed the demolition of the portion in question. The aforesaid petition is stated to be still pending.

Keeping in view the aforesaid development, the present petition is disposed of at this stage, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get the same revived in case after conclusion of the proceedings for vacation of stay, the order passed by this Court is not complied with.

26.4.2016 (Rajesh Bindal)
vs Judge