IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

110

COCP-2067-2025
Decided on:30.04.2025

Shubham Mehta

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Ambika Patyal and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

*****

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Contempt Petition has been filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for disobeying the order dated 16.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court.

2. Learned State counsel, in compliance of the order dated 16.01.2025, has submitted the order dated 28.04.2025 passed by the Haryana Kausal Rozgar Nigam Limited (hereinafter referred to as 'HKRNL') which is taken on record as Mark-A and copy of the same has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. A perusal of the abovesaid order would show that it has been stated on behalf of HKRNL that in fact the petitioner was working as a Clerk in the office of HAFED. It has further been stated that HKRNL was only a service provider who used to facilitate the employment of individuals willing to work and for the said purpose, an indent was received from the concerned department/organization. It is stated that it is HAFED, who is the

MEHAK HURIA
2025.05.02 11:02
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment

2025:PHHC:055664

competent authority to redress the grievance of the petitioner with respect to renewal of his contract.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the detailed order dated 28.04.2025, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present contempt petition with liberty to move an appropriate application in CWP-957-2025, in accordance with law.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the maintainability or merits of any such application which the petitioner proposes to file and in case any such application is filed, the same would be considered, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

30.04.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/~~No~~*
Whether reportable? *~~Yes~~/N*

MEHAK HURIA
2025.05.02 11:02
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment