



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CWP-26834-2025

Date of Decision: 16.09.2025

NISHTHA SACHDEVA

.....Petitioner

Vs.

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Kanav Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akash Vashisht, Addl. Standing counsel with  
Ms. Tejaswani Yadav, Jr. Panel counsel  
for respondent Nos. 1 to 8-UT, Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)**

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the official respondent Nos. 1 to 8 to decide representations dated 29.04.2025 and 18.06.2025 (Annexures P-5, P-7 and P-8) in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 8 has placed on record the copy of e-mail along with the copy of the report. He submits that complaints submitted by the petitioner were duly enquired into and it has been found that dispute relates to monetary transactions. Counsel states that as no cognizance offence has been made out, complaints have been filed.

3. In view of the above, development petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedy available to her under the law.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)  
JUDGE