

2025:PHHC:039152



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRM-M No.37288 of 2024
Date of Decision: 21.03.2025**

Mohd. Sadeem ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Khullar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
128	27.08.2022	GRP, District Ludhiana	397, 379-B, 34 and 201 of IPC

2. As per the allegations, the aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of statement recorded by the complainant Samir Khan on the allegations that on 26.08.2022, four unknown youths had opened an assault upon him while he was going from outer signal before Railway Station Ludhiana, towards his house. They had snatched his purse containing money and identity proofs etc. while causing injuries to

2025:PHHC:039152



him and thereafter they had fled away. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. During investigation, accused Vishal Kumar was arrested. He suffered a disclosure statement admitting his involvement in the crime and also took the name of the present petitioner. The present petitioner was arrested on 11.09.2022. He too suffered disclosure statement and got effected recovery of weapon of offence. Investigation stands completed and the petitioner along with the co-accused is facing trial for commission of the aforementioned offences.

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner on the grounds and it is argued by his counsel that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He is in custody since long. The co-accused Vishal Kumar has been extended benefit of bail. On parity, he too deserves to be released on bail. His further detention would not serve any useful purpose. Therefore, it is argued that the petition deserves to be allowed.

4. Status report has been filed by the respondent-State. It is argued by learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab that the petitioner has criminal antecedents as he is involved in one more case of similar nature. There are chances of his committing similar offences if extended benefit of bail. Therefore, it is urged that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have gone through the record.

6. The petitioner along with the co-accused is alleged to have committed offence of robbery/snatching a wallet containing cash amount of Rs.15,000/- from the complainant. He is in custody since 11.09.2022. The

2025:PHHC:039152



trial would take time. The co-accused whose case is on similar footing has been extended benefit of bail. Keeping in view the above discussed facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves to be released on bail. Hence, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

21.03.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No