



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

[203]

CRM-M-51837-2025(O&M)  
Date of decision : 19.09.2025

Hasam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J.(ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as "BNSS"), seeking regular bail in FIR No.279 dated 05.11.2022 for offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341 and 506 IPC, 1860 (Sections 307, 285, 325 of IPC & Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 added later on), registered at Police Station Pinangwa, District Nuh (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since 24.10.2024 i.e. for the last more than 10 months and investigation has already been completed in the matter as well as challan has also been filed. She further submitted that co-accused, namely, *Sakir* has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 27.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-44435-2025 titled as "*Sakir Vs. State of Haryana*". Moreover, it is also stated that there is a version and cross-version and an FIR is also registered against the complainant party in which the accused had also received injuries and a fight has taken place between two parties on account of gram panchayat election. She also submitted that the trial of the case is likely to take considerable time and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping him behind the bars. The petitioner prays for concession of regular bail.



The petitioner undertakes to abide by all conditions imposed by the Court and assures that he will not tamper with evidence, influence witnesses, or abscond during trial.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel appearing on advance notice filed the custody certificate of the petitioner and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner as well as the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, by submitting that the present petitioner is also involved in another FIR bearing No.450 of 2022 of similar type of activities, but he fairly conceded that the gun shot injury is not attributed to the present petitioner although he had caused injuries with a *Danda* to the complainant.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.10.2024 i.e. for the last about 10 months and 24 days; and investigation in the matter has already been completed and there is version and cross-version, and also the fact that an FIR has also been registered against the complainant-party and the moot question regarding who is aggressor to the dispute will be adjudicated by the trial Court after receiving the evidence of both the parties during the trial; the trial would take sufficient long time to conclude; no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any further, co-accused Sakir, has already been granted regular bail by this Court in *CRM-M-44435-2025*, vide order dated 27.08.2025, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty



Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned, by imposing such condition that his presence be secured during the trial.

7. Pending application stands disposed of accordingly.

19.09.2025  
Anjal

**(SUBHAS MEHLA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No