

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

2025:PHHC:011436



CR-515-2025

Date of decision: 27.01.2025

ALLA SINGH THROUGH HIS LRS

..Petitioner

Versus

AVINASH KAUR AND ANOTHER

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The petitioner (defendant in the trial Court) assails the correctness of First Appellate Court's order refusing to impound agreement to sell evidencing delivery of possession on the ground of deficiency in payment of stamp duty. In substance, the petitioner claims that the aforesaid document is not properly stamped.
2. Admittedly, the agreement to sell was exhibited before the trial Court. The suit filed by the plaintiff was decreed on the basis of aforesaid agreement to sell. Section 36 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899, debars any party to question admission of documents in evidence on the ground that such document is not sufficiently stamped at subsequent stage.
3. Dismissed accordingly.

January 27th, 2025

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*