



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8038-2025(O&M)
Date of decision : 25.07.2025**

Aanya Garg and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH
NALWA**

Present: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

Petitioners Aanya Garg and Vikas Kumar have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 7, and not to interfere in their personal life and liberty.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 12.03.1997. On the other hand, as per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-3), his date of birth is 20.01.1987. They had performed marriage on 28.04.2025. Copy of Certificate of Marriage is Annexure P-5 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-6. Petitioners also moved representation



to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar which is Annexure P-7. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 7.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. It is duty of the police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 23.07.2025 (Annexure P-7) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

CRWP-8038-2025

2025:PHHC:093159



8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

25.07.2025

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)

d.gulati

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No