

**CRM-M-21328-2025****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****225****CRM-M-21328-2025****Date of Decision: 08.05.2025****NEERAJ ALIAS NINJA****...PETITIONER*****Versus*****UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL.**

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor with
Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl.P.P., U.T, Chandigarh.**H.S.GREWAL, J (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed for regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 168 dated 21.10.2024 under Sections 115, 190, 191(2), 324(1), 351(2) of BNSS (Sections 308(2), 111, 113 of BNS and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station South Sector-34, Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with co-accused had entered the office of the complainant and threatened him. He further contends that the petitioner got arrested after 03 months of the alleged occurrence and on his disclosure statement, a fire arm was recovered which he has thrown in the vicinity of Sector-39, Chandigarh. However, the said weapon was planted upon the petitioner and the same was not connected with this case.

3. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate dated



CRM-M-21328-2025

2

08.05.2025 the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 14 days and he is not involved in any other case. However, learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submission of learned counsels, since the trial is yet to commence and the same is likely to take time, the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 14 days and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. It is clarified that if on bail, so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

08.05.2025.

renu

**(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No**