



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-47133-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.09.2025

Harpreet Singh Deol

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.08 dated 05.02.2025, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Jodhan, Ludhiana.

2. On 27.08.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Prior to the purchase of vehicle, the complainant was aware of that it was not in the name of the petitioner and he had merely facilitated its sale from Simranjit Kaur wife of Ravinderjit Singh to the complainant. He was also not aware of there being any loan outstanding on the vehicle. Furthermore, the sale had taken place in the year 2019 while the FIR has been registered in 2025 i.e. after a delay of 6 years. No recovery is to be effected from him. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 23.09.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.08.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.09.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No