

position to produce the guarantee in cash. He, thus, submits that instead of security of Rs.5 lakhs in the form of cash security petitioner may be allowed to furnish surety/surities bonds of Rs.5 lakhs.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that the condition imposed by the Ld. Trial Court on the petitioner to deposit the security to the tune of Rs.5 lakhs in the form of cash security or a bank guarantee vide its order dated 15.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) is onerous. Hence, the same is hereby modified and the petitioner is allowed to furnish surety bonds of Rs.5 lakhs instead of cash security or a bank guarantee of Rs.5 lakhs.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed of.

03.07.2025

Parveen kumar

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No