



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(129)

CWP No. 12200 of 2025

Date of Decision : 01.05.2025

Balbir Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioners in the present petition is that they are entitled for the grant of promotion to the post of SDO from the date of their eligibility i.e. 17.05.1993, which benefit has not been granted despite the fact that similarly situated employees have already been granted the said benefit hence, the respondents be directed to grant the antedated promotion to the petitioners to the post of SDO from the date of their eligibility along with consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-19) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-19) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 01, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No