



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CR-3486-2025
Decided on:01.07.2025**

Amit Hooda

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Manju and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. A perusal of the paperbook would show that neither the order dated 14.09.2012 passed by this Court has been annexed nor the reply filed by the respondent to the objections filed by the petitioner has been annexed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition be disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to revive the present petition after annexing the abovesaid documents as well as other relevant documents.
3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

01.07.2025*Mehak*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
~~Yes/No~~*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**