



COCP-2836-2021 (O & M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

COCP No.2836 of 2021 (O & M)

Date of decision :-12.08.2025

Vikas Choudhary

.....Petitioner

Versus

**T.V.N. Parsad, Financial Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Haryana,
Department of Finance, Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Sector-1,
Chandigarh and others**

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. B.R. Rana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Dutt, DAG, Haryana with
Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1, 2 and 6.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.3.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s) for not complying the order dated 22.5.2019 (Annexure P-2) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-13267-2017 titled as "Vikas Choudhary vs. State of Haryana and others" vide which the petition was disposed of with a direction "*....to respondent No.3-University to take a final decision on revision of the pay scales of Law Officers with 10 years' experience, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.*"



Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner had previously filed a Contempt Petition bearing No.1120 of 2020 titled as “Vikas Choudhary vs. T.V.N. Parsad and others” which was disposed of on the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.3 that in compliance of the order dated 22.5.2019, an order dated 22.9.2020 (Annexure P-20) had been passed whereby the petitioner had been granted the desired higher pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100. It was also pointed out therein that the petitioner was not entitled to the relief granted by the Vice Chancellor. Accordingly, the Contempt Petition No.1120 of 2020 was disposed of by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.9.2020 (Annexure P-21). Learned Senior Counsel submits that thereafter the respondents had passed an order dated 03.3.2021 (Annexure P-36) whereby the pay-scale previously granted to the petitioner vide Annexure P-20 was withdrawn and petitioner has been granted pay-scale which is admissible to Law Officers which is at par with all other Universities of State of Haryana. It is contended by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that the same constitutes violation of the directions of this Court.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the said decision dated 03.3.2021 (Annexure P-36) has been taken by the University on the directions of the Department of Finance, State of Haryana, whereby it has been decided that the pay-scales of all the Law Officers within all the Universities in the State of Haryana shall be at par. It is further pointed out by learned counsel for respondent No.3 that the decision (Annexure P-20) was taken subject to the



approval of the Government; and the Government of the State of Haryana has not approved the decision (Annexure P-20).

At this stage, it is submitted by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that the present Contempt Petition may be disposed of, however, liberty be granted to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 03.3.2021 (Annexure P-36) in accordance with law, if so advised.

Ordered accordingly.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

August 12, 2025

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No