219 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-5879-CWP-2023 in/and
RA-CW-131-2023 (O&M) in
CWP-9069-2017(O&M)
Date of Decision : 01-09-2025

JASBIR SINGH **.....Petitioner**

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS **.....Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Jaskeerat Singh, Advocate
for Mr. K.K. Thakur, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 and 2.

***

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for non-applicant/petitioner submits that though, the petitioner as well as the review applicant have already retired from service and the direction given by the Division Bench vide order dated 28.11.2018 was only for consideration of claim of the non-applicant/petitioner for promotion and the order has already been passed to comply with the said order hence, the review application is not permissible.

2. There is no representation on behalf the applicant/respondent No.3

SAPNA GOYAL
2025.09.04 15:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

3. Keeping in view the fact that the order dated 28.11.2018 had already been complied with coupled with the fact that no one has appeared on behalf of the review applicant/respondent No.3 hence, the present review petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

4. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

01-09-2025
Sapna Goyal

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No

SAPNA GOYAL
2025.09.04 15:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document