



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-28781-2023 (O & M)Date of Decision: 15.01.2025

Sharda Chopra

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Employees Provident Fund Organisation and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate, for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Deepak Balyan and Mr. Vicky Chauhan, Advocates,
for respondent No.3.**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to restore his pension.
2. Mr. Hooda expressed his inability to controvert the fact that issue involved in the instant petition is no more *res integra* and is squarely covered by judgment dated 12.09.2024 of this Court passed in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian and others vs. Union of India and others, 2024 SCC OnLine P&H 12076.***
3. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment of this Court in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian (supra).***
4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

15.01.2025
shivani(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGEWhether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportableYes
No