



**120/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51929-2025
Date of Decision: 16.09.2025**

Dushyant Saini and Others

...Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Khepar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of order dated 14.10.2024 of learned ACJM, Jind, in FIR No.103 dated 31.05.2018 under Sections 34, 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of IPC, Women Police Station, District Jind.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners had been regularly appearing in the trial before the Court of learned ACJM, Jind. Under a compromise between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 a decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 was passed. Respondent No.2 had also agreed to get the FIR quashed. It is stated that counsel for the petitioners informed them that they were not required to appear in the Court, as the divorced wife would withdraw the criminal case against them. On that account, petitioners failed to appear before learned ACJM, Jind on 14.10.2024, their bail was cancelled and bonds were forfeited to the State. He further submits that petitioners were ready and willing to surrender in the Court and some protection be granted.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Heard. Though, there is no apparent illegality in the order dated 14.10.2024 of learned ACJM, Jind, appropriate course for the petitioners is to surrender before learned trial Court within 10 days and move an application for regular bail, which learned trial Court shall decide the same day. Till then, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners.
6. The petition stands disposed of.
7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

16.09.2025
Sumit Singla

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No