

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

ARB-302-2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision:- 18.01.2019

M/s Saini Techno Construction  
Versus

...Applicant

Union of India and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE**

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Nimit Kumar, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

\* \* \* \*

**KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)**

This is an application under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of an arbitrator.

2. Learned counsel for the parties do not dispute that there exists an agreement between the parties which contains an arbitration clause.

3. Learned counsel for both the parties agreed for appointment of an arbitrator. Accordingly, the application is disposed of by appointing Mr. T.R.Bansal, Additional District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), Punjab, # 768, Sector 22-A, Chandigarh, as an arbitrator to adjudicate upon disputes and differences between the parties. The fees shall be as per the Chandigarh Arbitration Centre (CAC) (Administrative Cost and Arbitrators' Fees) Rules, 2014 or as may be settled by the parties and the arbitrator.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)**  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

18.01.2019

ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No