



CR No.5615 of 2025 (O&amp;M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

141

**CR No.5615 of 2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 21.08.2025**

**SAT NARAYAN SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS**  
.....Petitioner(s)  
**Vs**  
**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**                      ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present:        Ms. Nisha Kanojia, Advocate for  
                     Mr. Shivansh Malik, Advocate  
                     for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Komal Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)**

[1].            By way of present petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court to decide the Execution No.73/2025 dated 11.04.2025 titled as '*Sat Narayan deceased through LRs and others vs. State of Haryana and others*' within a time bound period in view of directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of '*Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others*' reported as "(2021) 6 SCC 418".

[2].            Admittedly, certain land owned by the petitioner(s) was acquired vide notification dated 15.12.2006 and 14.12.2007 issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the 1894 Act') respectively, followed by an award dated 03.07.2009 passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, whereby the petitioner(s) and other persons were granted compensation to the tune of Rs.20 lakhs per acre. Feeling dissatisfied, the landowners filed petition under Section 18



CR No.5615 of 2025 (O&M)

of the 1894 Act, which was decided by the Reference Court vide award dated 05.07.2014.

[3]. Aggrieved thereof, the petitioner(s)/landowners filed Regular First Appeal before this Court, which was allowed vide order dated 16.03.2022 enhancing the compensation to the tune of Rs.42.51 lakh per acre. Later the petitioner(s) filed Execution Petition No.73/2025 on 11.04.2025 before the learned Addl. District Judge, Rohtak and the same is pending since then for making payment of compensation to the petitioner(s), however, till date, the enhanced amount of compensation has not been disbursed to the petitioner(s) and other land owners.

[4]. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the petitioner(s) being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of amount of compensation have filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the Executing Court concerned to decide the execution application expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

[5]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

[6]. A perusal of record shows that execution application filed by the petitioners on 11.04.2025 is still pending and the petitioners are waiting to get their amount of compensation on the basis of order dated 16.03.2022 passed by this Court.

[7]. In **Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others** reported as **(2021) 6 SCC 418** the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:-

*“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceedings within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”*



**CR No.5615 of 2025 (O&M)**

[8]. In view of above, the Court of Addl. District Judge, Rohtak-cum-Executing Court is requested to dispose of the Executing Petition No.73/2025 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of next 04 months from today, as any further delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of the petitioner(s)/landowners.

[9]. It is made clear that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.

[10]. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

**August 21, 2025**

*Atik*

**(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No