



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-18378-2025

Date of Decision:- 28.05.2025

Davinder Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sahaj Punj, Advocate and
Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Advocate for complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Davinder Singh has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.72 dated 25.10.2024, under Sections 406, 498-A of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of the case, complainant Kamaljit Kaur filed written complaint against her husband Davinder Singh and other members of in-laws family alleging that her marriage was solemnized on 24.07.2022. Out of this marriage, she is having a daughter. Her husband is a Government employee. At the time of marriage, her parents had given sufficient dowry according to their financial capacity as detailed in the FIR. After marriage,



her husband along with other relatives started raising demand for more dowry. She was abused and physically beaten up. They used derogatory caste based remarks for her. Initially, she remained silent with a hope that things will change. However, the accused persons continued with their atrocities. She expressed her inability to satisfy their demands. She has narrated various incidents of beating given to her in the matrimonial home. Finally, the matter was reported to the police.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that matter has been settled between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Settlement agreement dated 23.05.2025 is also received. Now the husband and wife have started living together.

Aforesaid factual position is confirmed by learned counsel representing the complainant.

4. Status report is already filed. Learned counsel representing State pointed out that petitioner has not joined the investigation as he was granted interim relief only to explore the possibility of compromise.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Since the matter has been compromised and petitioner and his wife have started living together. Therefore, no purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Accordingly, anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner – Davinder Singh is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and



when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

28.05.2025

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No