

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

COCP No.1043 of 2024
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd MARCH, 2024

Head Constable-Vikrant Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

M.S. Farooq, Additional Director General, State Armed Police, PAP,
Jalandhar

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

* * * *

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating contempt proceedings against respondent for non-compliance of order dated 15.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by this court in CWP-5536-2024; along with certain other prayers.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Gunjan Metha, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and submits that the petitioner can join the duty with the respondent today itself.

4. The aforesaid offer is accepted by the petitioner.

5. Let the matter be posted after lunch, with a direction to the petitioner to join the duty with the respondent today itself and the respondent is directed to submit a report in that regard.

6. At this stage, in post lunch session, the counsel for the respondent has submitted that the petitioner has already joined his duties. This fact is not disputed even by counsel for the petitioner.

7. The counsel for the petitioner has also raised a point that the respondent is proceeding against the petitioner in departmental proceedings and he was not being permitted to join even those proceedings. As response to this the counsel for the respondent has submitted that earlier petitioner himself had not joined the duty, therefore, he had not joined the departmental proceedings. However, now since the petitioner has already joined his duties in the department, therefore, there is no question of not permitting him to join proceedings of the departmental proceedings. He will be joined in the departmental proceedings wherever the department is holding the same.

8. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

9. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unaddressed, the petitioner will be at liberty to avail any other remedy, but in accordance with law.

22nd MARCH, 2024
'raj'

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>