



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8131-2025

Date of Decision:24.02.2025

Satvinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

U.T., Chandigarh and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Dr. Malvika Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (through VC).

Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Addl.P.P for
U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case NACT No.10357/2017 dated 26.09.2017 (Annexure P-1), under Section 138 of N.I Act, read with Section 420 of IPC.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the mother of the petitioner was suffering from cancer and the petitioner was looking after her. On account of this, he lost his contact with his counsel and could not appear before the Trial Court. Ultimately, the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and the petitioner was declared as a proclaimed person. Learned counsel further contends that in the present case, the dispute relates to dishonour of three cheques of Rs.50,000/- and the wife of the petitioner has already appeared before the Trial Court and has offered to pay an amount of Rs.2 lacs to the

complainant in the present case before the Trial Court and in support of his claim, she has already produced a photocopy of demand draft of Rs.2 lacs. She further contends that in the present case, the offence is bailable and warrants were issued only to procure the presence of the petitioner before the Trial Court and petitioner is ready to surrender before the Trial Court in the present case.

3. On the other hand learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that he has to recover an amount of Rs.5 lacs from the present petitioner and his wife. He further contends that the petitioner has evaded the process of law for a long period and no leniency should be shown towards him.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, it is apparent that the dispute pertains to dishonour of three cheques, which were allegedly issued by the petitioner/accused and now, the wife of the petitioner has offered to pay a sum of Rs.2 lacs to the complainant before the Trial Court. It is also an admitted fact that a photocopy of the demand draft of Rs.2 lacs has already been produced before the Trial Court by the wife of the petitioner. Thus, the petitioner is permitted to surrender before the Trial Court/ Duty Magistrate within a period of two weeks from today and on his surrender, he shall be admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned Court.

6. The petitioner shall also file an affidavit before the concerned Court that he shall continue to appear before the Court on each and every date

of hearing and shall not absent himself during the court proceedings, except with prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

24.02.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No