



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

RSA-3311-1999

Decided on:22.07.2025

State of Punjab and others

. . . Appellants

Versus

Nachhattar Singh

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arjun Shukla, Advocate and

Mr. Abhimanyu Kaushal, Advocate

for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit in the year 1991 for declaration that order of dismissal dated 09.07.1986 was illegal. The said suit was dismissed and against the said judgment, the respondent-plaintiff had filed an appeal which was allowed and the order was set aside but liberty was granted to the competent Authority to proceed in accordance with law.

2. On 09.05.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present: Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

None for the respondent.

Learned State counsel seeks time to get instructions as to whether in view of the directions issued by the learned lower Appellate Court vide judgment and decree dated 22.07.1999 contained in para 20 thereof, any further action has been taken by the Department or not against the plaintiff.

Adjourned to 25.07.2024

Let notice be issued to the respondent and to the office of Sh. R.K. Arora, Senior Advocate for the date fixed.

09.05.2024”



3. Learned State counsel has fairly submitted that no further action has been taken by the Department against the respondent-plaintiff and in view of the same, present appeal be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

4. In view of the same, present appeal is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

22.07.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

*Yes/No
~~Yes~~/No*