



178

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-5287-2024

Date of Decision: January 28, 2025

**SATYABIR SINGH**

.....Petitioner

Versus

**PANKAJ AGGARWAL IAS AND ANOTHER**

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Rajiv Vats, Assistant Director, DSE, Haryana, Panchkula submits that pay revision has been ordered in the favour of the petitioner and the requisite benefits in terms thereof shall be released in his favour within 4 weeks from today.

In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

**28.01.2025**

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>