



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-6018-2025

Date of decision: June 06, 2025

Navdeep Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA****Present:- Mr. Abhishek Pawar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.****Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J.**

1. Petitioners Navdeep Kaur and Pardeep Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect lives and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6 and not to interfere in their personal lives and liberty.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 01.02.2001. On the other hand, as per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 11.09.2000. They had performed marriage on 03.05.2025. Copy of Certificate of Marriage is Annexure P-3 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, Punjab which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 6.



3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On advance notice, Ms.Navreet K Barnala, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paper book along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hand of respondents No.4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect lives and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 03.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps to protect lives and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)**  
**JUDGE**

**June 06, 2025**

ritu

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No