



CWP-4429-2025 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-4429-2025 (O&M).  
Date of Decision:-15.05.2025.

Raj Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab Civil Secretariat and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Amanpreet (A.P.) Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate for respondent No.3.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR SINGH, J. (Oral)**

**CM-7453-CWP-2025**

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and letter dated 14.05.2025 is taken on record as Annexure A-1, subject to all just exceptions.

**CWP-4429-2025**

1. Petition herein is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing orders dated 30.11.2023, 04.09.2019 and 12.01.2017 (Annexures P-3 to P-5) passed by the respondents; directing respondent No.3 to restore the plot No.28 to the petitioner, in view of the allotment letter dated 15.11.2006 (Annexure P-1) and for deciding representation dated 23.01.2025 (Annexure P-7).

2. Vide order dated 07.04.2025, respondent No.3 was directed to



CWP-4429-2025 (O&M)

respond to the statement made in para 25 of the writ petition which reads as under:-

*“That in the present case, at the first instance, the petitioner offered to pay the outstanding amount with penalty of interest and therefore the resumption order will not sustain.”*

3. In response to the above, respondent No.3 filed affidavit dated 12.05.2025 and following statement has been made in para 5 thereof:-

*“That in order to answer the query of this Hon’ble Court raised in view of the averments made by the petitioner in the paragraph No.25 of the petition, the matter of the petitioner was considered by the Deponent and in while keeping the interest of the Board safe, in case the petitioner is willing to deposit the Total outstanding amount of Rs.23,24,485.00, against the Booth No.27, as mentioned in the foregone paragraph No.3, then a positive decision towards the restoration of the Booth No.27, in favour of the Petitioner may be considered.”*

4. Considering the above stand taken by respondent No.3, no further order is required to be passed, as the grievance of the petitioners stands redressed. The possession of the property in question be handed over to the petitioners by the respondents within a period of one month from the date of payment of outstanding amount by the petitioner.

5. Writ petition stand disposed of accordingly.

**(SUDHIR SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 15, 2025.**

*S. Sethi*

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether Reportable:- Yes/No