



122 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-3502-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.10.2025**

Union of India

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Creative Consortium and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Lalit Attri, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 24.09.2024 whereby learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot has rejected application of the petitioner seeking stay of award dated 01.12.2020.

2. The Arbitrator vide award dated 01.12.2020 allowed claim of respondent-M/s Creative Consortium and others. The petitioner preferred objection petition under Section 34 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act') along with application under Section 36 (2) of 1996 Act seeking stay of award. The objection petition is still pending before learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot, however, stay application has been rejected vide impugned order dated 24.09.2024.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that petitioner is willing to deposit awarded amount with concerned Court,

however, amount may not be released to respondent till the pendency of petition under Section 34 of 1996 Act.

4. The awarded amount is Rs.13.28 Lakhs. The award was passed on 01.12.2020. There seems no reason to set aside impugned order, however, the petitioner is at liberty to deposit awarded amount with Executing Court which may consider credentials of the respondent before releasing amount during the pendency of petition under Section 34 of 1996 Act.

5. ***Disposed of.***

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.10.2025

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No