

2025:PHHC:084575



325/3.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20842-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.07.2025

Bhanu Pratap

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh, and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Bharat Bhandari, Advocate, and
Mr. S.S. Duhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ganesh Kumar Sharma, Additional Public Prosecutor,
U.T., Chandigarh, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vinay Yadav, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.149, dated 21.11.2024, under Sections 115(2), 309(4), 309(6) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 02.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 06.05.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to get

their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh (annexed with CRM-M-20163-2025), in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties, in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-UT, Chandigarh, too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioner.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.
8. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 14, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No