



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

239

CRM-M-51648-2024

Date of Decision: 01.05.2025

TARUN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mudit Johar, Advocate and
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
273	27.06.2022	SGM Nagar, Faridabad (Haryana)	147, 148, 149, 323, 506 IPC (later on added Sections 302, 120B, 201 IPC)

The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 483 BNSS 2023.

2. Petitioner seeks bail on the ground of non-identification by the complainant-Vinod Kumar and has referred to his testimony (Annexure P-4).
3. Faced with this, counsel for the complainant submits that examination-in-chief has not been annexed with the present petition, in which he was specifically named.
4. Given above, petitioner had deliberately tried to conceal the material evidence from this Court's notice. On this ground alone, petition is dismissed with liberty to file a fresh. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

01.05.2025
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes
No